

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE – 19 JULY 2006 - APPEAL DECISIONS

APPEAL BY	LOCATION	APPLICATION NO	DESCRIPTION	APPEAL DECISION & DATE	DATE OF ORIGINAL DECISION	SUMMARY OF DECISION
Mr & Mrs C Brown	No 36 Rookery Close Great Chesterford	UTT/1572/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for retention of a 2m high close boarded fence	ALLOWED 20 June 2006	28-Nov-2005	The Inspector concluded that the fence, which encloses a substantial area of amenity land, was acceptable
R F Bunten	Land on Widdington High Street to the north of the Fleur de Lys Public House	UTT/0838/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for construction of let table accommodation in the form of a guest house	ALLOWED 22 June 2006	20-July-2005	The Inspector concluded that the development would not harm the conservation area nor give rise to road safety problems
Mr & Mrs A Arnold	Barn at Bean-Pods Farm Howe Lane Great Sampford	UTT/0721/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for alteration and conversion of barn into a dwelling	ALLOWED 19 June 2006	30-Aug-2005	The Inspector concluded that the barn, though not listed was only suitable for residential conversion despite its remoteness and, in a strangely-worded decision letter, allowed the appeal
Mr & Mrs Fells	Penrose Cottage Bentfield Bower Stansted	UTT/1545/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant permission for formation of a first floor and conversion of the garage loft into habitable use, including formation of an external staircase and	DISMISSED 14 June 2006	14-Nov-2005	The Inspector concluded that the development would be ungainly and harm the setting of Penrose Cottage, which is a listed building

			dormers			
Mr D J Mitchell	Willow Brook Barn Brook End Stebbing	UTT/1114/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant permission for change of use from agriculture to garden and retention of children's play equipment	ALLOWED 22 June 2006	11-Oct-2005	The Inspector concluded that the use of land as garden, and the large piece of play equipment, was acceptable
<u>Appeal 1</u> DJR Cars LLP	Canfield Service Station Dunmow Road Little Canfield	ENF/279/04/B	Appeals against an enforcement notice (A) In breach of planning permission UTT/0005/02/FUL and (B) without the benefit of planning permission	WITHDRAWN		The appellants accepted that there were no planning reasons to justify car storage in the countryside
<u>Appeal 2</u> DJR Cars LLP	Canfield Service Station Dunmow Road Little Canfield	ENF/279/04/B	Appeal against enforcement notice for breaches of planning control	WITHDRAWN		As above
<u>Appeal 3</u> DJR Cars LLP	Canfield Service Station Dunmow Road Little Canfield	ENF/279/04/B	Appeal against enforcement notice for breaches of planning control	DISMISSED 23 June 2006 Enforcement Notice upheld with corrections and variations		The Inspector concluded that there were no planning reasons to justify car storage in the countryside but allowed the security fencing to be retained for 2 years
<u>Appeal 4</u> DJR Cars LLP	Canfield Service Station Dunmow Road Little Canfield	UTT/0527/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for security fencing to front boundary	WITHDRAWN	26-May-2005	See appeal 1 above
<u>Appeal 5</u> DJR Cars LLP	Canfield Service Station Dunmow Road Little Canfield	UTT/0530/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for the variation of conditions C.90A,	WITHDRAWN	25-May-2005	See appeal 1 above

			C.90B, C.90C and C.90F of planning permission UTT/0005/02/FUL to increase the number of vehicles and their siting, to include the rear section of the site and vary landscaping			
Mr & Mrs Coull	Aldbury Lodge The Street High Easter	UTT/1841/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for an extension to the front integral garage	ALLOWED 27 June 2006	10-Jan-2006	The Inspector concluded that the extension would not have a detrimental effect on the neighbouring property
Mr & Mrs D Aitken	Park Pale House Great Sampford	UTT/1822/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for a building to provide two garages one implement store and a storage loft above	DIMISSED 28 June 2006	10-Jan-2006	The Inspector concluded that the development would harm the appearance and character of the countryside and give rise to noise and disturbance to the occupiers of Park Pale Farm